

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,533	01/27/2005	Frank Meschke	26574U	7511
20529 7590 03/27/2008 NATH & ASSOCIATES 112 South West Street			EXAMINER	
			BURKHART, ELIZABETH A	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/522 533 MESCHKE, FRANK Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ELIZABETH A. BURKHART 1792 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 January 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Notice of Information Disclosure Datement(s) (PTO/95609)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informat Paler Lipp Mirallion
6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/522,533

Art Unit: 1792

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Objections

 Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: The term "as" is misspelled in line 1. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McNallan et al. ('833) in view of Matsui et al.

McNallan discloses a method of producing a shaped body characterized in that a material with a metal carbide (SiC) surface is heated to a temperature between 800-1200°C in the presence of a gas mixture containing chlorine, hydrogen, an argon (Col. 10, line 65-Col. 11, line 1) in order to convert the SiC surface into a carbon film (Col. 8, lines 1-10). The carbon film may be amorphous carbon (Col. 3, lines 35-40).

Application/Control Number: 10/522,533

Art Unit: 1792

McNallan does not disclose heating the SiC in a defined region of its surface by means of a radiation source in order to locally convert the SiC to carbon in this defined region.

Matsui discloses using an electron beam or ion beam to heat a defined region of a substrate such that amorphous carbon is deposited in said defined region by the decomposition of a carbon precursor (p. 3181-3182).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to use the radiation source of Matsui to heat the SiC surface of McNallan in order to form amorphous carbon deposits on localized portions of the surface rather than coating the entire surface.

Thus, claims 1-4 and 6 would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 USC 103 over the combined teachings of McNallan and Matsui.

 Claims 1, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kusunoki et al in view of Matsui et al.

Kusunoki discloses a process for producing a shaped body characterized in that a material having a metal carbide (SiC) surface is heated to a temperature of about 1700°C under vacuum in order to decompose said SiC to form a carbon nanotube film (L605, experimental section).

Matsui discloses using an electron beam or ion beam to heat a defined region of a substrate such that nanostructured carbon is deposited in said defined region by the decomposition of a carbon precursor (p. 3181-3182).

Application/Control Number: 10/522,533

Art Unit: 1792

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to use the radiation source of Matsui to heat the SiC surface of Kusunoki in order to form nanostructured carbon deposits on localized portions of the surface rather than coating the entire surface.

Thus, claims 1, 5, and 6 would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 USC 103 over the combined teachings of Kusunoki and Matsui.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELIZABETH A. BURKHART whose telephone number is (571)272-6647. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 10/522,533 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth A Burkhart/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792